

Dear Sir/Madam,

I hereby object to the decision to introduce paid parking in the Parkrijk De Lanen district. In my opinion, this decision is unjustified, insufficiently substantiated and contrary to the interests of the residents.

I would like to explain my objection as follows:

1. No parking nuisance caused by outsiders

There is enough parking space in the area where i live in Parkrijk De Lanen, and I didn't feel parking space issue at all, neither not from outsiders parking.

2. The municipality has previously acknowledged that there is no nuisance during previous objection procedures, the municipality itself indicated that there is no serious parking nuisance. The introduction of paid parking therefore does not seem to be based on actual necessity, but on a policy choice without support. Or rather, filling the coffers.

3. Sufficient alternatives for expanding parking capacity

There are several locations in the district where additional parking spaces can be created relatively easily. However, these alternatives have not been investigated or discussed with residents.

4. Lack of participation and consultation

The residents of Parkrijk have not been actively approached or heard in the decision-making process. This is contrary to the principles of good governance, including the principle of motivation and the principle of due care.

5. Complaints are about shortage, not about paid parking

The complaints received by the municipality relate to the shortage of parking spaces in specific parts of the district. These complaints do not justify the introduction of paid parking, but call for an expansion of capacity.

Conclusion: I therefore urgently request you to reconsider and withdraw the decision to introduce paid parking in Parkrijk.